

## DRAFT

# NEW HAMPSHIRE SEACOAST REGION WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY

## ALTERNATIVES REPORT

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### **1.0 Executive Summary**

1.1 Introduction .....	1-1
1.2 Report Format.....	1-1
1.3 Summary of Report Sections .....	1-1

#### **2.0 Introduction and Background**

2.1 Identification of Alternatives .....	2-1
2.2 Future Flows and Loads to the Study Area WWTFs.....	2-1
2.3 Septage Distribution .....	2-1
2.4 Decentralized System Flows.....	2-7
2.5 Effluent Limits.....	2-7
2.6 Alternatives Development.....	2-7
2.7 Methods for Analysis .....	2-7
2.8 Analysis.....	2-8
2.9 Alternative Comparisons.....	2-8

#### **3.0 Alternatives Description and Components**

3.1 Alternative 1 – No Action .....	3-1
3.1.1 Anticipated WWTF Upgrade Requirements.....	3-1
3.1.2 Anticipated Discharge and Disposal Requirements .....	3-4
3.2 Alternative 2 – Treatment and Existing WWTFs with a Regional Gulf of Maine Discharge .....	3-4
3.2.1 Anticipated WWTF Upgrade Requirements.....	3-6
3.2.2 Anticipated Conveyance Requirements.....	3-6
3.2.3 Regional Post-Treatment Facility .....	3-6
3.2.4 Anticipated Discharge and Disposal Requirements .....	3-10
3.3 Alternative 3 – Decentralized Treatment and Continued Use of Existing WWTFs .....	3-10
3.3.1 Anticipated WWTF Upgrade Requirements.....	3-13
3.3.2 Anticipated Discharge and Disposal Requirements .....	3-13
3.3.3 Decentralized Systems .....	3-13
3.4 Alternative 4 – Treatment at Existing WWTFs with Land Application Discharge.....	3-16
3.4.1 Anticipated WWTF Upgrade Requirements.....	3-18
3.4.2 Anticipated Conveyance Requirements.....	3-18
3.4.3 Anticipated Discharge and Disposal Requirements .....	3-18

#### **4.0 Methods of Analysis**

4.1 Environmental Analysis .....	4-1
4.1.1 Land Use and Growth Method of Analysis.....	4-2
4.1.2 Air Quality Method of Analysis .....	4-3
4.1.3 Surface Water, Groundwater Recharge, and Water Quality.....	4-3
4.1.4 Wetland and Terrestrial Resources .....	4-5
4.1.5 Aquatic Resources.....	4-5
4.1.6 Rare and Endangered Species .....	4-5
4.2 Non-Monetary Technical Analysis.....	4-5
4.2.1 Complexity.....	4-6

4.2.2	Public Testimony .....	4-6
4.2.3	Implementation .....	4-6
4.3	Planning Level Construction Costs .....	4-6
4.3.1	Planning Level Construction Cost Estimates .....	4-7
4.3.2	Land Acquisition Costs .....	4-8

## **5.0 Alternative 1 (No Action) Analysis**

5.1	Environmental Analysis .....	5-1
5.1.1	Land Use and Growth .....	5-1
5.1.2	Air Quality.....	5-2
5.1.3	Surface Water, Groundwater Recharge, and Water Quality.....	5-2
5.1.4	Wetland and Terrestrial Resources .....	5-3
5.1.5	Aquatic Resources .....	5-3
5.1.6	Rare and Endangered Species .....	5-3
5.2	Non-Monetary Technical Analysis.....	5-3
5.2.1	Complexity.....	5-3
5.2.2	Public Testimony .....	5-4
5.2.3	Implementation .....	5-4
5.3	Planning Level Construction Costs .....	5-4

## **6.0 Alternative 2 (Treatment at Existing WWTFs with a Regional Gulf of Maine Discharge) Analysis**

6.1	Environmental Analysis .....	6-1
6.1.1	Land Use and Growth .....	6-1
6.1.2	Air Quality.....	6-2
6.1.3	Surface Water, Groundwater Recharge, and Water Quality.....	6-2
6.1.4	Wetland and Terrestrial Resources .....	6-6
6.1.5	Aquatic Resources .....	6-7
6.1.6	Rare and Endangered Species .....	6-11
6.2	Non-Monetary Technical Analysis.....	6-12
6.2.1	Complexity.....	6-12
6.2.2	Public Testimony .....	6-12
6.2.3	Implementation .....	6-12
6.3	Planning Level Construction Costs .....	6-13

## **7.0 Alternative 3 (Decentralized Treatment and Continued Used of Existing WWTFs) Analysis**

7.1	Environmental Analysis .....	7-1
7.1.1	Land Use and Growth .....	7-1
7.1.2	Air Quality.....	7-2
7.1.3	Surface Water, Groundwater Recharge, and Water Quality.....	7-3
7.1.4	Wetland and Terrestrial Resources .....	7-3
7.1.5	Aquatic Resources .....	7-4
7.1.6	Rare and Endangered Species .....	7-4
7.2	Non-Monetary Technical Analysis.....	7-4
7.2.1	Complexity.....	7-4
7.2.2	Public Testimony .....	7-5
7.2.3	Implementation .....	7-5
7.3	Planning Level Construction Costs .....	7-6

## **8.0 Alternative 4 (Treatment at Existing WWTFs and Discharge to Land Application Sites) Analysis**

8.1	Environmental Analysis .....	8-1
8.1.1	Land Use and Growth .....	8-1
8.1.2	Air Quality.....	8-2
8.1.3	Surface Water, Groundwater Recharge, and Water Quality.....	8-2

8.1.4	Wetland and Terrestrial Resources .....	8-3
8.1.5	Aquatic Resources .....	8-4
8.1.6	Rare and Endangered Species .....	8-4
8.2	Non-Monetary Technical Analysis.....	8-4
8.2.1	Complexity.....	8-4
8.2.2	Public Testimony .....	8-5
8.2.3	Implementation .....	8-5
8.3	Planning Level Construction Costs .....	8-5

## **9.0 Alternatives Comparison**

9.1	Environmental .....	9-1
9.1.1	Land Use and Growth .....	9-1
9.1.2	Air Quality.....	9-2
9.1.3	Surface Water, Groundwater Recharge, and Water Quality.....	9-2
9.1.4	Wetland and Terrestrial Resources .....	9-2
9.1.5	Aquatic Resources .....	9-4
9.1.6	Rare and Endangered Species .....	9-4
9.2	Non-Monetary Factor Comparison.....	9-4
9.2.1	Complexity.....	9-5
9.2.2	Public Testimony .....	9-5
9.2.3	Implementation .....	9-6
9.3	Planning Level Construction Costs .....	9-7

## DRAFT

### **NEW HAMPSHIRE SEACOAST REGION WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY**

### **ALTERNATIVES REPORT**

#### **LIST OF TABLES**

TABLE 2-1. CURRENT AND PROJECTED FLOWS TO STUDY AREA WWTFs IN 2004, 2025, AND 2055.....	2-6
TABLE 3-1. ALTERNATIVE 1 ANTICIPATED WWTF UPGRADE REQUIREMENTS .....	3-3
TABLE 3-2. ALTERNATIVE 2 ANTICIPATED WWTF UPGRADE REQUIREMENTS .....	3-7
TABLE 3-3. ALTERNATIVE 2 WWTF EFFLUENT CONVEYANCE COMPONENTS .....	3-8
TABLE 3-4. CANDIDATE OUTFALL DETAILS.....	3-10
TABLE 3-5 ALTERNATIVE 3 ANTICIPATED WWTF UPGRADE REQUIREMENTS .....	3-14
TABLE 3-6. CHARACTERISTICS OF A TYPICAL 10,000 GPD COMMUNITY ON-LOT SYSTEM.....	3-13
TABLE 3-7. ALTERNATIVE 4 ANTICIPATED WWTF UPGRADE REQUIREMENTS .....	3-19
TABLE 3-8. ALTERNATIVE 4 WWTF EFFLUENT CONVEYANCE COMPONENTS .....	3-20
TABLE 3-9. ALTERNATIVE 4 WWTF EFFLUENT LAND ACREAGE REQUIREMENTS .....	3-21
TABLE 3-10. RAPID INFILTRATION BASIN DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS AND STANDARDS.....	3-22
TABLE 4-1. WWTFs DISCHARGING TO TIDAL RECEIVING WATERS.....	4-4
TABLE 5-1. ALTERNATIVE 1 ESTIMATED WWTF UPGRADE COSTS .....	5-5
TABLE 5-2. ESTIMATED PLANNING LEVEL COSTS FOR ALTERNATIVE 1.....	5-6
TABLE 6-1. CANDIDATE OUTFALL CHARACTERISTICS AND INITIAL DILUTION PERFORMANCE.....	6-3
TABLE 6-2. WWTF FLOWS AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL FLOW DURING LOW FLOW CONDITIONS.....	6-6
TABLE 6-3. RESIDENT FINFISH COLLECTED BY FYKE, HUAL SEINES, TRAWLS AND GILL NETS IN THE GREAT BAY ESTUARY IN 1980 AND 1981 .....	6-8
TABLE 6-4. HIGHEST AMMONIA CONCENTRATIONS AT THE CANDIDATE OUTFALL SITES COMPARED TO ACUTE AQUATIC LIFE CRITERION FOR AMMONIA IN SALTWATER .....	6-9

TABLE 6-5. AMMONIA CONCENTRATION AT THE CANDIDATE OUTFALL SITES COMPARED TO CHRONIC LIFE CRITERION FOR AMMONIA IN SALTWATER.....	6-10
TABLE 6-6. ALTERNATIVE 2 ESTIMATED WWTF UPGRADE COSTS .....	6-14
TABLE 6-7. ALTERNATIVE 2 WWTF EFFLUENT CONVEYANCE PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE .....	6-15
TABLE 6-8. ALTERNATIVE 2 EFFLUENT DISPOSAL PLANNING LEVEL COSTS .....	6-13
TABLE 6-9. ESTIMATED PLANNING LEVEL COSTS FOR ALTERNATIVE 2.....	6-16
TABLE 7-1. TOTAL LAND AREA REQUIRED FOR DECENTRALIZED SYSTEMS .....	7-2
TABLE 7-2. ALTERNATIVE 3 ESTIMATED WWTF UPGRADE COSTS .....	7-7
TABLE 7-3. ALTERNATIVE 3 – DECENTRALIZED SYSTEMS PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE .....	7-8
TABLE 7-4. ESTIMATED PLANNING LEVEL COSTS FOR ALTERNATIVE 3.....	7-9
TABLE 8-1. ALTERNATIVE 4 ESTIMATED WWTF UPGRADE COSTS .....	8-7
TABLE 8-2. ALTERNATIVE 4 WWTF EFFLUENT CONVEYANCE PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE .....	8-8
TABLE 8-3. ALTERNATIVE 4 WWTF EFFLUENT LAND APPLICATION DISPOSAL PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE.....	8-9
TABLE 8-4. ESTIMATED PLANNING LEVEL COSTS FOR ALTERNATIVE 4.....	8-10
TABLE 9-1. WATER QUALITY IMPACTS OF ALTERNATIVES ON GREAT BAY .....	9-3
TABLE 9-2. ALTERNATIVES TREATMENT, CONVEYANCE, AND DISPOSAL PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATES .....	9-7

**DRAFT**

**NEW HAMPSHIRE SEACOAST REGION WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY**

**ALTERNATIVES REPORT**

**LIST OF FIGURES**

FIGURE 2-1. ALTERNATIVE 1 – NO ACTION.....	2-2
FIGURE 2-2. ALTERNATIVE 2 – TREATMENT AT EXISTING WWTFs WITH A REGIONAL GULF OF MAINE DISCHARGE .....	2-3
FIGURE 2-3. ALTERNATIVE 3 - DECENTRALIZED TREATMENT AND CONTINUED USE OF EXISTING WWTFs.....	2-4
FIGURE 2-4. ALTERNATIVE 4 – TREATMENT AT EXISTING WWTFs AND LAND APPLICATION DISCHARGE.....	2-5
FIGURE 3-1. ALTERNATIVE 1 – NO ACTION .....	3-2
FIGURE 3-2. ALTERNATIVE 2 – TREATMENT AT EXISTING WWTFs WITH A REGIONAL GULF OF MAINE DISCHARGE .....	3-5
FIGURE 3-3. POTENTIAL EFFLUENT CONVEYANCE ROUTE FOR ALTERNATIVE 2.....	3-9
FIGURE 3-4. CANDIDATE OUTFALL SITES.....	3-11
FIGURE 3-5. ALTERNATIVE 3 - DECENTRALIZED TREATMENT AND CONTINUED USE OF EXISTING WWTFs.....	3-12
FIGURE 3-6. TYPICAL CONFIGURATION OF A COMMUNITY ON-LOT DECENTRALIZED SYSTEM .....	3-15
FIGURE 3-7. ALTERNATIVE 4 – TREATMENT AT EXISTING WWTFs AND LAND APPLICATION DISCHARGE.....	3-17

# **NEW HAMPSHIRE SEACOAST REGION WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY**

## **ALTERNATIVES REPORT**

### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX A</b>	<b>ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT METHODOLOGY</b>
<b>APPENDIX B</b>	<b>METHOD FOR SELECTING WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES</b>
<b>APPENDIX C</b>	<b>ESTUARY IMPACT ASSESSMENT</b>
<b>APPENDIX D</b>	<b>GULF OF MAINE DISCHARGE MODELING</b>
<b>APPENDIX E</b>	<b>GROUNDWATER RECHARGE AND REUSE, PHASE 1 – FAVORABLE ZONE IDENTIFICATION</b>
<b>APPENDIX F</b>	<b>GROUNDWATER RECHARGE AND REUSE, PHASE 2 – LAND APPLICATION FEASIBILITY RANKING</b>
<b>APPENDIX G</b>	<b>UNIT PRICING AND CORRECTION FACTORS USED FOR PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATES</b>